

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/592,349	06/12/	2000	William C. Peatman	SC11100ZP	5118	
23330	7590	08/06/2003		•		
MOTOROLA, INC. CORPORATE LAW DEPARTMENT - #56-238				EXAMINER		
3102 NORT	H 56TH STRE		WILLE, DOUGLAS A			
PHOENIX, A	AZ 85018			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2814		
				DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	ſ
Advisory Action	09/592,349	PEATMAN ET AL.	
. Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Douglas A Wille	2814	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 17 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appel Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in	ed
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened of the shortened states.	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE terms on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 sion and the corresponding amount of the	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP I 36(a) and the appropriate extension for the fee. The appropriate extension fee to	fee inder
 (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 	onths after the mailing date of the final reje	ection, even if timely filed, may reduce	any
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.	
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be			
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) X they raise the issue of new matter (see Note			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or simplifying	g the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	l be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed amendr	ment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		sidered but does NOT place	the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)□ will not be entered or brould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered and an ow or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>See prior Office Action</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) □ approved or b) □ disap	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen			
10. Other:		mater & Chill	9





Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitation "at least greater than" is new matter and is not supported by the specification..